EGEIV

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	JUL 23 2015
	JUDGE KAPLAN'S CHAMBERS
VRINGO, INC., et ano.	
Plaintiffs,	Civ. No. 14-cv-4988 (LAK)
v.  ZTE CORPORATION, et ano.  Defendants  ZTE CORPORATION,  Plaintiff,	USDS SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILE DOC #: DATE FILED: JUL 2 4 2015
v.	Civ. No. 15-ev-0986 (LAK)
VRINGO, INC., et ano.	
Defendants	

## JOINT STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF DISCOVERY

ZTE and Vringo (collectively, "the parties") respectfully submit this joint stipulation for an extension of discovery in the above-captioned cases.

On April 7, 2015, the Court held a pretrial conference and consolidated the above captioned cases for the purposes of pretrial discovery. The April 7, 2015 Order (Dkt. No. 46) established the discovery deadlines for these cases. At the June 23, 2015, conference the parties requested and received a two week extension to the deadline for substantial completion of document production. The parties indicated that they may similarly submit a stipulation requesting an extension to the deadline for completion of discovery. The parties have conferred and hereby submit this stipulation.

The parties are working diligently to complete discovery according to the deadlines set forth in the April 7 Order. Pursuant to that Order, each party represents that it has recently substantially completed their document productions. However, because both parties have produced tens of thousands of pages of responsive documents in the last week, and because some of the produced documents are not in English, the parties respectfully request a reasonable amount of additional time to complete review of each other's respective productions. The parties have also served substantial written discovery requests, and partially responded to written discovery. Vringo also served third party subpoenas and is negotiating with the third parties regarding the scope of responsive information to be produced. The additional time required to review the respective productions and outstanding written discovery responses will also reduce the time for additional deponents to be identified and for the already-noticed depositions to be taken before the completion of fact discovery.

Deposition practice has already begun and other depositions are already scheduled and noticed, but the parties request more time in which to schedule and take depositions of additional fact witnesses that have not yet been scheduled or noticed. In addition, because the depositions of ZTE witnesses require the use of translators and additional days of testimony, additional days are necessary to complete those party depositions. Another complicating factor is that almost all of ZTE's witnesses are located overseas and require visas to travel to the United States. Vringo represents that it will produce all of its deponents for deposition by the requested September 3, 2015 extension date. ZTE represents that it will make all reasonable efforts to produce all of its deponents for deposition by the requested September 3, 2015 extension date. For those witnesses whose deposition must proceed in the United States, to the extent that any of them are not able to obtain visas to travel to the United States by September 3, 2015, ZTE represents that

it will produce those witnesses for deposition in the United States as soon as they are able to obtain the necessary visas.

If the Court is willing to grant the additional time for fact discovery, the parties likewise request an extension of roughly equal length for the completion of expert discovery, so as to preserve sufficient time for expert discovery. Therefore, the parties request an extension of the current deadlines for fact and expert discovery as follows:

Date	Event
9/3/2015	Fact Discovery to be completed (with the possible exception of ZTE witnesses with visa issues)
11/5/2015	Opening expert reports to be served by the party bearing the burden of proof on each issue
12/10/2015	Rebuttal expert reports to be served
1/14/2016	Expert discovery to be completed
2/16/2016	Deadline to file dispositive motions  — joint pretrial order the
submitted.	U V

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 22, 2015

Charles M. McMahon

Brian A. Jones

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY

227 West Monroe Street Chicago, IL 60606

Tel: (312) 984-7641 Fax: (312) 984-7700

ememahon@mwe.com

Jay H. Reiziss McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 500 N. Capitol St., NW Washington, DC 20001 Karl Geercken Amber Wessels-Yen ALSTON & BIRD LLP 90 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10016

Tel: (212) 210-9400 Fax: (212) 210-9444 karl.geercken@alston.com amber.wessels-yen@alston.com

Mark A. McCarty ALSTON & BIRD LLP Tel: (202) 756-8646 Fax: 202 756 8087

Jeffrey J. Catalano **BRINKS GILSON & LIONE** 455 N. Cityfront Plaza Drive Suite 3600

Chicago, IL 60611 Tel: (312) 321-4200 Fax: (312) 321-4399

jcatalano@brinksgilson.com

Robert F. Perry Paul A. Straus David A. Joffe KING & SPALDING LLP 1185 Ave. of the Americas New York, NY 10036 Tel: (212) 556-2100

Fax: (212) 556-2222 rperry@kslaw.com pstraus@kslaw.com djoffe@kslaw.com

One Atlantic Center 1201 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424 Tel: (404) 881-7000 Fax: (404) 881-7777 mark.mccarty@alston.com Attorneys for Vringo, Inc. and

Vringo Infrastructure, Inc.

Attorneys for ZTE Corporation and ZTE hould proceed on the assurption that treve will be up for the extensions. (USA) Inc.

Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan United States District Judge

Kni